

REMARKS

This amendment is being filed pursuant to Section 35 CFR 1.312 for Amendments after Allowance and is being filed before the payment of the issue fee. The applicant understands that although the application is technically no longer under the jurisdiction of the primary examiner that he can make an examiner's amendment and has the authority to enter these amendments even though the Notice of Allowance has already been issued.

After a review of the allowed claims listed in the Notice of Allowance (NOA) mailed August 13, 2004, it became apparent that some claims were mistakenly not included in the After Final Amendment filed and accepted on July 9, 2004. The changes the examiner requested of claim 28 and the specification were also not included in that Office Action response. Those changes have now been made. The following claim summary table shows which claims that were allowed in the August 13, 2004 NOA as well as the claims that were mistakenly not included in the after final office action response:


Allowed:	1, 5-8, 11-14, 16-18, 20-21, 23-36, 42-77
Mistakenly not included:	9, 10, 15, 17, 19, 22
Mistakenly not included and Currently Amended	2, 3, 4
Withdrawn:	37-41, 78-109

Claims 9, 10, 15, 17, 19 and 22 depend from the allowed Claim No. 1 and should have been added back in the previous office action response. These claims depend directly from an allowed independent claim. These dependant claims further define the allowable subject matter and should be allowed.

Claims 2, 3, and 4 have been amended to reflect the changes requested by the examiner in Claim 1 and thus now properly depend from Claim 1. These claims also further define the allowable subject matter and should be allowed.

These amendments are needed for proper disclosure and protection of the invention. The Applicant believes that these changes require no substantial amount of additional work on the part of the office because they all depend from previously allowed independent claims. Claims 9, 10, 15, 17, 19 and 22 were previously presented but were mistakenly not included in the last office action response and claims 2, 3, and 4 should have been amended as shown to agree with the changes in the claim they depend upon and included in the last office action response.

Respectfully submitted,


Donna P. Suchy, Registration No. 47,979
HARTER, SECRET & EMERY LLP
1600 Bausch & Lomb Place
Rochester, New York 14604

Date: September 23, 2004